

### REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the Application. The Examining Attorney has issued a Restriction asserting two distinct species have been represented in Figure 1 and Figure 7. In response, Applicant has elected Species II, and canceled claims 4, 6, 8, 17, and 20, and has amended claims 12 and 13 for proper dependency.

### ELECTION

Applicant has elected Species II, as represented in Figure 7, and has canceled claims to the non-elected Species I, as represented in Figure 1. Specifically, claims 4, 6, 8, 17, and 20 have been canceled.

### AMENDMENT

Applicant has amended claims 12 and 13 to depend from claim 11 instead of claim 10 to correct an error in dependency.

### CONCLUSION

In light of the above-detailed amendment to the claims, Applicant respectfully asserts that each issue raised in the Office Action has been addressed. Having complied with all requirements set out in the action, it is submitted that the


remaining claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-16, and 18-19 are now ready for examination.

Therefore, reconsideration of the Application as amended is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 8, 2005

By:

  
Gary L. Eastman, Esq.  
Reg. No. 41,005  
Attorney for Applicant

Gary L. Eastman, Esq.  
707 Broadway Street, Suite 1800  
San Diego, California 92101  
Telephone: (619) 230-1144  
Facsimile: (619) 230-1194  
Docket No.: 1373-PA01